

CARL J. KUNASEK

**RENZ D. JENNINGS** 

JIM IRVIN

**ARIZONA** 

**CHAIRMAN** 

**COMMISSIONER** 

COMMISSIONER

IN THE PROVISION OF ELECTRIC

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPETITION

SERVICES THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF

## ona Corporation Commission **DOCKETED**

RECEIVED ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION RISSION JAN 1 7 1997

2 3

J

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

12 13

11

14 15

17

16

19

20

18

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

DOCKETED BY

JAH 17 II oo AM 197 **DOCKET NO. U-0000-94-165** 

DOCUMENT CONTROL

NORDIC POWER'S RESPONSE TO TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY'S, ARIZONA **PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S** AND TRICO ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.'S APPLICATIONS FOR REHEARING/RECONSIDERATION

Nordic Power opposes the applications for rehearing/reconsideration filed by Tucson Electric Power Company (TEP), Arizona Public Service Company (APS), and TRICO Electric Cooperative, Inc. (TRICO). The Commission, its staff, the various industries, and the public have invested considerable time and cost in developing this reasonable framework. The Rules on Retail Electric Competition ("the Rules") provide for workshops to resolve any issues which may arise in the future. Of course, this Commission may later hold hearings on specific issues which might be properly framed after the Affected Utilities provide their unbundled rates, data on stranded costs, and other factual bases for their concerns. Nordic Power vigorously opposes the granting of any rehearing/reconsideration application because it will only delay electric competition in Arizona. As a result, Arizona customers will incur substantially higher power costs during the interim and be placed at an economic disadvantage, while the rest of the country pursues more efficient electrical service.

The Request for Stay by TEP and TRICO, and any others, should also be denied. Filing of rehearing applications should not preclude enforcement of the Rules during the interim, nor may a court suspend the Rules pending an appeal, if any. See A.R.S. §§ 40-253.D. and 40-254.01.F.

TEP, APS and TRICO admit that their applications for rehearing/reconsideration do not contain any new facts, arguments, or other information. The applications merely reiterate the

27

28

positions TEP, APS and TRICO assumed during the course of the drafting of these Rules, and their comments were already taken into consideration in preparing these Rules. Despite the compromises and tradeoffs, which occurred during the development of these Rules, TEP, APS and TRICO are still attempting to extract more preferential treatment, delay the process, and substantially reduce the economic benefits to all Arizona consumers.

The Rules provide for a logical transition to the competitive market environment. Under the "buy-through" arrangement, all Arizona customers may pursue market transactions with the oversight of the Commission. As the Affected Utilities release their cost information, more and more customers will be able to take advantage of these economic opportunities, resulting in lower business costs, greater employment, more disposable personal income, and a stronger overall competitive economy.

In closing, Nordic Power urges that these and any other applications for rehearing/reconsideration be denied and that the Commission maintain this deliberate and reasonable path towards electric retail competition, as contained in the Rules.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 15 day of January, 1997.

DOUGLAS C. NELSON, P.C.

Douglas C. Nelson, Esq. 7000 North 16th Street

#120-307

Phoenix, Arizona 85020 (602) 395-1612

(602) 395-1943-Facsimile Attorney for Nordic Power

1	
2	ORIGINAL and ten copies of the foregoing MAILED this /5th day of January 1997 with:
3	Arizona Corporation Commission
4	Docket Control 1200 West Washington Street
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
6	COPY mailed this 15th day of January, 1997 to:
7	Thomas L. Mumaw Steven M. Wheeler
8	Snell & Wilmer, L.L.P.
9	400 E. Van Buren Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001
10	Attorneys for Arizona Public Service Company
11	Bradley S. Carroll Counsel, Regulatory Affairs
12	Legal Department-DB203 220 West Sixth Street- P.O. Box 711
13	Tucson, Arizona 85702 Attorney for Tucson Electric Power Company
14	O'Connor Cavanagh Molloy Jones
15	33 N. Stone Ave., Suite 2100 P.O. Box 2268
16	Tucson, Arizona 85702 Attorneys for TRICO Electric Cooperative, Inc.
17	Marin Marin
18	By Venus Green
19	
20	FILES\NORDIC\PLEADING.ACC
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3